arXiv:1401.0755v1 [math.RT] 4 Jan 2014

Centers associated with the Borel subalgebra of the general linear Lie algebra*

Oz Ben-Shimol

Department of Mathematics, Bar-Ilan University,
Ramat-Gan, Israel

January 7, 2014

Abstract

We consider a Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of the general linear algebra and its subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}$ which is a Borel subalgebra of the special linear algebra, over arbitrary field. Let $\mathcal{L} \in \{\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{b}\}$. We establish here explicit realizations of the center $Z(\mathcal{L})$ and semi-center $Sz(\mathcal{L})$ of the enveloping algebra, the Poisson center $S(\mathcal{L})^{\mathcal{L}}$ and Poisson semi-center $S(\mathcal{L})^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathrm{si}}$ of the symmetric algebra. We describe their structure as commutative rings and establish isomorphisms $Z(\mathcal{L}) \cong S(\mathcal{L})^{\mathcal{L}}$, $Sz(\mathcal{L}) \cong S(\mathcal{L})^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathrm{si}}$

Introduction

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of the upper triangular matrices with n rows and n columns with elements in an *arbitrary* field F of characteristic $p \geq 0$. $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Borel subalgebra of the general linear Lie algebra. For p which does not divide n, we consider its Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}$ which is a Borel subalgebra of the special linear algebra. For $\mathcal{L} \in \{\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{b}\}$, let $S(\mathcal{L})$ be its symmetric algebra, $U(\mathcal{L})$ its enveloping algebra. The Poisson center of $S(\mathcal{L})$ is denoted by $S(\mathcal{L})^{\mathcal{L}}$ (the set of invariants of the $\mathcal{L}$-module $S(\mathcal{L})$ with respect to the adjoint representation), and the Poisson semi-center of $S(\mathcal{L})$ is denoted by $S(\mathcal{L})^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathrm{si}}$ (4.1). The center of $U(\mathcal{L})$ is denoted by $Z(\mathcal{L})$ and the semi-center of $U(\mathcal{L})$ is denoted by $Sz(\mathcal{L})$ (5.1).

In Section 1 we assume $p > 0$ and establish an explicit realization of $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ by generators and relations. It is shown that $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring and it is a complete intersection ring (1.11) for $p = 2$. This realization leads to an explicit realization of $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}}$ by generators and that $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}}$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring.

In Section 2, still with $p > 0$, we use the realizations in Section 1 and the fact that $S(\mathfrak{g})$ is the graded algebra of $U(\mathfrak{g})$, to obtain the following results: an explicit realization of $Z(\mathfrak{g})$, an explicit isomorphism of $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ onto $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ (thus $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring and it is a complete intersection ring for $p = 2$), realization of $Z(\mathfrak{b})$ by generators, and an explicit isomorphism of $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}}$ onto $Z(\mathfrak{b})$ (thereby $Z(\mathfrak{b})$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring).

In Section 3, we apply reduction modulo $p > 0$ in conjunction with the realization of $S(\mathfrak{g})$ in Section 1, to obtain a realization of $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$, $Z(\mathfrak{g})$, $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}}$ and $Z(\mathfrak{b})$ for $p = 0$ (that is, over fields of zero

*Work is supported by ISF grant #170/12.

characteristic). It is shown that $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ are isomorphic as polynomial rings of one variable. Furthermore, we prove $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}} = Z(\mathfrak{b}) = F$. Loosely speaking, $U(\mathfrak{b})$ has no center.

In Sections 4,5, we get the analogue results for the semi-centers. We get realizations of $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{si}}$, $Sz(\mathfrak{g})$, $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathrm{si}}$, $Sz(\mathfrak{b})$ and isomorphisms $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{si}} \cong Sz(\mathfrak{g})$, $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathrm{si}} \cong Sz(\mathfrak{b})$. It follows that for $p > 0$ the algebras $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{si}}$, $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathrm{si}}$ (hence $Sz(\mathfrak{g})$, $Sz(\mathfrak{b})$) are complete intersection rings, and if $p = 0$ then $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{si}}$ (hence $Sz(\mathfrak{g})$) is a polynomial ring of n variables, while $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathrm{si}}$ (hence $Sz(\mathfrak{b})$) is a polynomial ring of $n-1$ variables.

We end this introduction by mentioning related known results.

Over algebraically closed fields of characteristic zero, there exists an isomorphism of the Poisson center onto the center (the so called *Duflo isomorphism*) [4, Theorem 10.4.5]. There exists, as well, an isomorphism of the Poisson semi-center onto the semi-center [8].

A generating set for $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ is introduced, without proof, by V.V.Panyukov [7].

Over the field of complex numbers, using completely different methods, A. Josef [5] shows that $Sz(\mathfrak{b})$ is a polynomial ring of $n-1$ variables.

A description of $Sz(\mathfrak{b})$ for $p > 0$ is given by G. Vernik [9]; he describes it as a polynomial ring over the center of a certain subalgebra of $U(\mathfrak{b})$.

Notation

F	-	the ground field.
p	-	the characteristic of F ($p \geq 0$).
$e_{s,t}$	-	the $n \times n$ matrix $(\alpha_{k,l})$ such that $\alpha_{k,l} = 0$ for $(k,l) \neq (i,j)$ and $\alpha_{i,j} = 1$.
$\mathfrak{M}$	-	the matrix $(e_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$.
$\mathfrak{g}$	-	the Lie algebra of the upper triangular $n \times n$ matrices over F. $\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Span}_F\{e_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n\}$.
$\mathfrak{n}$	-	the Lie algebra of the strictly upper triangular $n \times n$ matrices over F. $\mathfrak{n} = \mathrm{Span}_F\{e_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$.
$\{\mathfrak{n}\}$		the underlying set of $\mathfrak{n}$.
$\mathfrak{b}$	-	a Borel subalgebra of $\mathrm{sl}_n(F)$. $\mathfrak{b} = \mathrm{Span}_F(\{\mathfrak{n}\} \cup \{e_{i,i} - e_{n,n} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1\})$,
$\mathcal{L}$	-	a Lie algebra.
$S(\mathcal{L})$	-	the symmetric algebra of $\mathcal{L}$.
$S_p(\mathfrak{g}), S_p(\mathfrak{b})$	:	1.2, 1.13.
$S(\mathcal{L})^{\mathcal{L}}$	-	the Poisson center of $S(\mathcal{L})$.
$S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{n}}$	=	$\{f \in S(\mathfrak{g}) \mid \mathrm{ad}\, x(f) = 0,\ x \in \mathfrak{n}\}$
$S(\mathcal{L})^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathrm{si}}$	-	the Poisson semi-center of $S(\mathcal{L})$. (4.1)
$U(\mathcal{L})$	-	the enveloping algebra of $\mathcal{L}$.
$Z(\mathcal{L})$	-	the center of $U(\mathcal{L})$.
$Z_p(\mathfrak{g}), Z_p(\mathfrak{b})$	:	(2.1, 2.2), 2.8.
$Sz(\mathcal{L})$	-	the semi-center of $U(\mathcal{L})$. (5.1)
$Q(A)$	-	the quotient field of a domain A.
h	=	$\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$.
$C(k)$	-	the determinant of the k-th right upper block of $\mathfrak{M}$, $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.
$D(k)$	=	$\sum_{i=1}^{k} e_{i,i} + e_{n-i+1,n-i+1}$, $1 \leq k \leq h$.
$T_k(i,j)$	-	the determinant of the matrix which obtains from the k-th right upper block of $\mathfrak{M}$ by replacing its i-th row with the last k-th tuple of the j-th row of $\mathfrak{M}$ $(1 \leq i \leq k$ and $k+1 \leq j \leq n-k)$.
$S_k(i,j)$	-	the determinant of the matrix which obtains from the k-th right upper block of $\mathfrak{M}$ by replacing its i-th column with the first k-th tuple of the $(n-j+1)$-th row of $\mathfrak{M}$ $(1 \leq i \leq k$ and $k \leq j \leq n-k)$.
$T(k)$	=	$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=k+1}^{n-k} e_{i,j} T_k(i,j)$, $1 \leq k \leq h$.
$M(k)$	=	$C(k)D(k) + T(k)$, $1 \leq k \leq h$, $M(\frac{n}{2}) = 0$ for an even n.
$M_{\mathfrak{b}}(k)$	:	1.13.
c_0, z_0	:	1.2, 2.1.
$c(k,l), z(k,l)$	:	1.2, 2.1.
$c_{\mathfrak{b}}(k,l), z_{\mathfrak{b}}(k,l)$	:	1.13, 2.8.
$d(r)$	-	the set consisting of the elements of the r-th upper diagonal of $\mathfrak{M}$. That is, $d(r) = \{e_{i,j} \mid j - i = r\}$.
$\hat{d}(r)$	-	the subset of $d(r)$ consisting of the elements which do not belong to the anti-diagonal of $\mathfrak{M}$. That is, $\hat{d}(r) = d(r) \setminus \{e_{s,n-s+1} \mid s = 1, \ldots, n\}$.

1. The Poisson center of $\mathbf{S}(\mathfrak{g})$

1.1. In this section, $\mathrm{char}(F) = p > 0$.

1.2. Generators.

$$\begin{aligned} S_p(\mathfrak{g}) &= F[e_{i,j}^p \mid 1 \le i \le j \le n]. \\ c_0 &= \mathrm{trace}(\mathfrak{M}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i,i}. \\ &\quad \text{This element generates the center of } \mathfrak{g}. \\ c(k,l) &= C(k)^{p-l} M(k)^l, \;\; 1 \le k \le h, \; 0 \le l \le p-1. \\ &\quad \text{In particular } c(k,0) = C(k)^p \in S_p(\mathfrak{g}). \end{aligned}$$

1.3. In the sequel we shall need the following basic Lemma.

Lemma. Let $X = (x_{i,j})$ be an $r \times r$ matrix over a commutative ring A. If $D \in \mathrm{Der}(A)$ then $D(\det(A))$ can be computed column by column. That is, if $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_r)$ where $X_1, \ldots, X_r$ are the columns of X, then

$$D(\det(X)) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \det(X_1, \ldots, X_{i-1}, D(X_i), X_{i+1}, \ldots, X_r)$$

where $D(X_i) = (D(x_{1,i}), D(x_{2,i}), \ldots, D(x_{r,i}))^T$.

1.4. Proposition.

a. $C(k), M(k) \in S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{n}}$.

b. $c(k,l) \in S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Proof. The fact $C(k) \in S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{n}}$ is proved in [1]. Let separate the underlying set of $\mathfrak{M}$ to six subsets as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{M}_1 &= \{e_{s,t} \mid 1 \le s < t \le k\} & \mathfrak{M}_4 &= \{e_{s,t} \mid k+1 \le s < t \le n-k\} \\ \mathfrak{M}_2 &= \{e_{s,t} \mid 1 \le s \le k, \; k+1 \le t \le n-k\} & \mathfrak{M}_5 &= \{e_{s,t} \mid k+1 \le s \le n-k < t \le n\} \\ \mathfrak{M}_3 &= \{e_{s,t} \mid 1 \le s \le k, \; n-k+1 \le t \le n\} & \mathfrak{M}_6 &= \{e_{s,t} \mid n-k+1 \le s < t \le n\} \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\mathrm{ad}\, e_{s,t}(T_k(i,j)) = \begin{cases} -\delta_{si} T_k(t,j) & e_{s,t} \in \mathfrak{M}_1 \\ \delta_{si}\delta_{tj} C(k) & e_{s,t} \in \mathfrak{M}_2 \\ \delta_{tj} T_k(i,s) & e_{s,t} \in \mathfrak{M}_4 \\ 0 & e_{s,t} \in \mathfrak{M}_3 \cup \mathfrak{M}_5 \cup \mathfrak{M}_6 \end{cases}$$

Since

$$\mathrm{ad}\, e_{s,t}(T(k)) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=k+1}^{n-k} \left[(\delta_{ti} e_{s,j} - \delta_{sj} e_{i,t}) T_k(i,j) + e_{i,j}\, \mathrm{ad}\, e_{s,t}(T_k(i,j)) \right],$$

we get

$$\mathrm{ad}\, e_{s,t}(T(k)) = \begin{cases} 0 & e_{s,t} \in \mathfrak{M}_1 \cup \mathfrak{M}_3 \cup \mathfrak{M}_4 \cup \mathfrak{M}_6 \\ e_{s,t} C(k) & e_{s,t} \in \mathfrak{M}_2 \\ -\sum_{i=1}^{k} e_{i,t} T_k(i,s) & e_{s,t} \in \mathfrak{M}_5 \end{cases} .$$

It is very easy to see that

$$\operatorname{ad} e_{s,t}(D(k)) = \begin{cases} 0 & e_{s,t} \in \mathfrak{M}_1 \cup \mathfrak{M}_3 \cup \mathfrak{M}_4 \cup \mathfrak{M}_6 \\ -e_{s,t} & e_{s,t} \in \mathfrak{M}_2 \\ e_{s,t} & e_{s,t} \in \mathfrak{M}_5 \end{cases} .$$

Since

$$\operatorname{ad} e_{s,t}(M(k)) = C(k) \operatorname{ad} e_{s,t}(D(k)) + \operatorname{ad} e_{s,t}(T(k)),$$

we get

$$\operatorname{ad} e_{s,t}(M(k)) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad e_{s,t} \in \mathfrak{M}_1 \cup \mathfrak{M}_2 \cup \mathfrak{M}_3 \cup \mathfrak{M}_4 \cup \mathfrak{M}_6$$

and

$$\operatorname{ad} e_{s,t}(M(k)) = e_{s,t}C(k) - \sum_{i=1}^{k} e_{i,t}T_k(i,s) \quad \text{if} \quad e_{s,t} \in \mathfrak{M}_5.$$

Therefore, to complete the proof of **a.** we have to show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} e_{i,t}T_k(i,s) = e_{s,t}C(k) \quad \text{if} \quad e_{s,t} \in \mathfrak{M}_5.$$

Let $M(i,r)$ be the $(i,r)-$th minor of the matrix of $C(k)$, $1 \leq i,r \leq k$. Using the expansion of $T_k(i,s)$ by the i-th row yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{k} e_{i,t}T_k(i,s) &= \sum_{i=1}^{k} e_{i,t} \sum_{r=1}^{k} (-1)^{i+r} e_{s,n-k+r} M(i,r) \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^{k} e_{s,n-k+r} \sum_{i=1}^{k} (-1)^{i+r} e_{i,t} M(i,r) \\ &= \sum_{r \neq t-(n-k)} e_{s,n-k+r} \sum_{i=1}^{k} (-1)^{i+r} e_{i,t} M(i,r) \\ &+ e_{s,t} \sum_{i=1}^{k} (-1)^{i+(t-(n-k))} e_{i,t} M(i,t-(n-k)). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the expression $\sum_{i=1}^{k} (-1)^{i+r} e_{i,t} M(i,r)$ is the expansion of the determinant by the $r-$th column, of the matrix which obtains from the matrix of $C(k)$ by replacing its $r-$th column with its $(n-k+t)-$th column. Therefore, if $r \neq t-(n-k)$, this expression is a determinant of a matrix with two equal columns and therefore zero. Also, the expression $\sum_{i=1}^{k} (-1)^{i+(t-(n-k))} e_{i,t} M(i,t-(n-k))$ is the expansion of $C(k)$ by its $(t-(n-k))-$th column.

To prove **b.**, a description of the action of $\operatorname{ad} e_{s,s}$ is required. It is easy to verify that

$$\operatorname{ad} e_{s,s}(T_k(i,j)) = \begin{cases} (1-\delta_{si})T_k(i,j) & 1 \leq s \leq k \\ \delta_{sj}T_k(i,j) & k+1 \leq s \leq n-k \\ -T_k(i,j) & n-k+1 \leq s \leq n \end{cases} .$$

Since

$$\operatorname{ad} e_{s,s}(T(k)) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=k+1}^{n-k} \left[(\delta_{si} e_{s,j} - \delta_{sj} e_{i,s}) T_k(i,j) + e_{i,j} \operatorname{ad} e_{s,s}(T_k(i,j)) \right],$$

we get

$$\operatorname{ad} e_{s,s}(T(k)) = \begin{cases} T(k) & 1 \le s \le k \\ 0 & k+1 \le s \le n-k \\ -T(k) & n-k+1 \le s \le n \end{cases}.$$

Obviously, $\operatorname{ad} e_{s,s}(D(k)) = 0$, and

$$\operatorname{ad} e_{s,s}(C(k)) = \begin{cases} C(k) & 1 \le s \le k \\ 0 & k+1 \le s \le n-k \\ -C(k) & n-k+1 \le s \le n \end{cases}.$$

Since

$$\operatorname{ad} e_{s,s}(M(k)) = \operatorname{ad} e_{s,s}(C(k)) D(k) + \operatorname{ad} e_{s,s}(T(k)),$$

we get

$$\operatorname{ad} e_{s,s}(M(k)) = \begin{cases} M(k) & 1 \le s \le k \\ 0 & k+1 \le s \le n-k \\ -M(k) & n-k+1 \le s \le n \end{cases}.$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{ad} e_{s,s}(c(k,l)) &= \operatorname{ad} e_{s,s}(C(k)^{p-l} M(k)^l) \\ &= (p-l) C(k)^{p-l-1} \operatorname{ad} e_{s,s}(C(k)) M(k)^l \\ &+ l C(k)^{p-l} M(k)^{l-1} \operatorname{ad} e_{s,s}(M(k)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

1.5. Relations. For $0 \le i,j \le p-1$ let $r(i,j)$, $s(i,j)$ be the unique integers such that $0 \le s(i,j) \le 1$, $0 \le r(i,j) \le p-1$ and $i+j = ps(i,j) + r(i,j)$. Then for $k = 1, \dots, h$ we have

$$c(k,i)c(k,j) = c(k, r(i,j)) C(k)^{p(1-s(i,j))} M(k)^{ps(i,j)}. \tag{1}$$

1.7. Theorem. $Q(S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}) = Q(S_p(\mathfrak{g})[c_0, c(k,1) \mid k = 1, \dots, h])$.

Proof. *Step 1. The field extension*

$$Q(S_p(\mathfrak{g})[c_0, c(k,1) \mid k = 1 \dots, h])/Q(S_p(\mathfrak{g}))$$

is of degree p^{h+1}:

$c_0 \notin S_p(\mathfrak{g})$, $c_0^p \in S_p(\mathfrak{g})$, thus $Q(S_p(\mathfrak{g})[c_0])/Q(S_p(\mathfrak{g}))$ is of degree p.

Let i,j,l,k be integers, $1 \le k \le h$, $1 \le l < k$, $1 \le i \le l$, $l+1 \le j \le n-l$, and $\mathfrak{D} = \operatorname{ad} e_{n-k+1,k}$. Then $\mathfrak{D}D(l) = \mathfrak{D}C(l) = \mathfrak{D}c_0 = 0$. Also, $\mathfrak{D}T_l(i,j) = \delta_{j,k} T_l(i, n-k+1)$, hence $\mathfrak{D}T(l) = 0$. It follows that $\mathfrak{D}M(l) = 0$ and therefore

$$\mathfrak{D} S_p(\mathfrak{g})[c_0, c(1,1), \dots, c(l,1)] = 0. \tag{2}$$

Now, $\mathfrak{D}D(k) = 0$, and $\mathfrak{D}C(k) \in S(\mathfrak{g})$ for if $e_{s,t}$ is an entry of the underlying matrix of $C(k)$ then $1 \leq s \leq k$, $n-k+1 \leq t \leq n$ hence

$$\mathfrak{D}e_{s,t} = \delta_{k,s}e_{n-k+1,t} - \delta_{n-k+1,t}e_{s,n-k+1} \in S(\mathfrak{g}).$$

We shall see that $\mathfrak{D}T(k) \notin S(\mathfrak{g})$. Let $e_{s,t}$ be an entry of the underlying matrix of $T_k(i,j)$ where $1 \leq i \leq k$, $k+1 \leq j \leq n-k$. Then $\mathfrak{D}e_{s,t} = \delta_{k,s}e_{n-k+1,t} - \delta_{n-k+1,t}e_{s,k}$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{D}e_{s,t} \notin S(\mathfrak{g})$ if and only if $s = j$ and $t = n-k+1$, that is, if and only if $e_{s,t}$ is the i-th entry of the first column of the underlying matrix of $T_k(i,j)$. Apply $\mathfrak{D}$ to $T_k(i,j)$ column by column (Lemma 1.3) and conclude that $\mathfrak{D}T_k(i,j) = e_{k,j}\alpha(i,j)+\beta(i,j) \notin S(\mathfrak{g})$ for some $\alpha(i,j), \beta(i,j) \in S(\mathfrak{g})$. Now, $\mathfrak{D}e_{i,j} = \delta_{k,i}e_{n-k+1,j}$ hence

$$\mathfrak{D}T(k) = \sum_{j+1}^{n-k} e_{n-k+1,j}T_k(k,j) + \sum_{i=1}^{k}\sum_{j=k+1}^{n-k} e_{i,j}[(e_{j,k}\alpha(i,j) + \beta(i,j)],$$

which is certainly not an element of $S(\mathfrak{g})$.
So we have $\mathfrak{D}M(k) = D(k)\mathfrak{D}C(k) + \mathfrak{D}T(k) \notin S(\mathfrak{g})$ and therefore

$$\mathfrak{D}c(k,1) = -C(k)^{p-2}\mathfrak{D}C(k)M(k) + C(k)^{p-1}\mathfrak{D}M(k) \neq 0.$$

From (2) it follows that $c(k,1) \notin S_p(\mathfrak{g})[c_0, c(1,1), \ldots, c(k-1,1)]$.
Clearly $c(k,1)^p \in S_p(\mathfrak{g})[c_0, c(1,1), \ldots, c(k-1,1)]$, hence the field extension

$$Q(S_p(\mathfrak{g})[c_0, c(1,1), \ldots, c(k,1)])/Q(S_p(\mathfrak{g})[c_0, c(1,1), \ldots, c(k-1,1)])$$

is of degree p for every $k = 1, \ldots, h$.

Step 2. We proceed as in [1, Proposition 1.13] when we are dealing here with fields instead of division algebras.

Let r, i, j, k be integers such that $1 \leq r \leq n-1$, $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, $k \leq j \leq n-k$.
For each $e_{i,j} \in d(r)$ we have
(1) If $e_{i,j}$ is lying above the anti-diagonal of $\mathfrak{M}$ then

$$\operatorname{ad} T_i(i,j)(e_{i,j}) \neq 0 \text{ and}$$

$$\operatorname{ad} T_i(i,j)(d(r) \setminus \{e_{i,j}\} \cup d(r+1) \cup \ldots \cup d(n-1)) = 0.$$

(2) If $e_{i,j}$ is an element of the anti-diagonal of $\mathfrak{M}$ or lying below it, then

$$\operatorname{ad} S_i(1, n-j+1)(e_{i,j}) \neq 0 \text{ and}$$

$$\operatorname{ad} S_i(1, n-j+1)(d(r) \setminus \{e_{i,j}\} \cup d(r+1) \cup \ldots \cup d(n-1)) = 0.$$

Denote by $\{\mathfrak{n}\}$ the underlying set of $\mathfrak{n}$. So $\{\mathfrak{n}\} = \bigcup_{r=1}^{n-1} d(r)$. It follows that the field extension $Q(S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}[\{\mathfrak{n}\}])/Q(S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}})$ is of degree $p^{\deg \mathfrak{n}} = p^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$.

Now, for $k = 1, \ldots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ we have $\operatorname{ad} C(k)(e_{k,k}) = -C(k)$, $\operatorname{ad} C(k)(e_{l,l}) = 0$ when $l < k$, and by Proposition 1.2.a. $\operatorname{ad} C(k)(S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}[\{\mathfrak{n}\}]) = 0$. It follows that the field extension

$$Q(S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}[\{\mathfrak{n}\} \cup \{e_{k,k} \mid k = 1, \ldots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor\}])/Q(S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}[\{\mathfrak{n}\}])$$

is of degree $p^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$. Therefore, the degree of

$$Q(S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}[\{\mathfrak{n}\} \cup \{e_{k,k} \mid k = 1, \ldots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor\}])/Q(S_p(\mathfrak{g}))$$

is at least

$$p^{h+1}p^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}p^{\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor} = p^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} = p^{\dim\mathfrak{g}},$$

which is the degree of $Q(S(\mathfrak{g}))/Q(S_p(\mathfrak{g}))$. By degree considerations we must have

$$Q(S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}) = Q(S_p(\mathfrak{g})[c_0, c(k,1) \mid k = 1,\dots,h]).$$

□

1.8. Lemma. *$S_p(\mathfrak{g})[c_0]$ is a polynomial ring.*

Proof. By changing basis in $\mathfrak{g}$ we get that

$$S_p(\mathfrak{g}) = F[c_0^p, e_{i,j}^p \mid 1 \le i \le j \le n, (i,j) \ne (n,n)].$$

Hence

$$S_p(\mathfrak{g})[c_0] = F[c_0, e_{i,j}^p \mid 1 \le i \le j \le n, (i,j) \ne (n,n)].$$

c_0 is integral over $S_p(\mathfrak{g})$, thus the Krull dimension of the affine ring $S_p(\mathfrak{g})[c_0]$ is equal to the amount of its generators. $S_p(\mathfrak{g})[c_0]$ is a polynomial ring. □

1.9. Let $t_0, t(k,l)$, $k = 1,\dots,h$, $l = 1,\dots,p-1$, be algebraically independent elements over $S_p(\mathfrak{g})$. Set $t(k,0) = C(k)^p$. Consider the polynomial ring

$$R = S_p(g)[t_0, t(k,l) \mid k = 1,\dots h,\ l = 1,\dots p-1]$$

and the following polynomials of R (which are corresponding to relations (1)):

$$f_0 = t_0^p - c_0^p$$

$$f_k(i,j) = t(k,i)t(k,j) - C(k)^{p(1-s(i,j))}M(k)^{ps(i,j)}t(k,r(i,j)),$$

$$1 \le i \le j \le p-1,\ k = 1,\dots,h.$$

Let I be the ideal of R generated by f_0 and the $f_k(i,j)$'s. Denote

$$A_0 = \{c_0\}$$

$$A_k = \{c(k,l) \mid l = 0,\dots,p-1\} \quad k = 1,\dots,h$$

$$A = \bigcup_{k=0}^{h} A_k \quad , \quad A^* = \prod_{k=1}^{h} A_k$$

1.10. Theorem. *$S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}} = S_p(\mathfrak{g})[A] \cong R/I$. Consequently, $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring.*

Proof. The ring $S_p(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is integral over the ring $S_p(\mathfrak{g})[A]$ (being so over $S_p(\mathfrak{g})$). Using (1), one has

$$c(k,l) = c(k,1)^l/C(k)^{p(l-1)} \quad l = 2,\dots,p-1, \quad k = 1\dots h.$$

Therefore $Q(S_p(\mathfrak{g})[A]) = Q(S_p(\mathfrak{g})[c_0, c(k,1) \mid k = 1,\dots,h])$. By Theorem 1.7, $S_p(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $S_p(\mathfrak{g})[A]$ have the same quotient field. It is therefore suffices to prove that the ring $S_p(\mathfrak{g})[A]$ is normal.

From relations (1) it follows that $S_p(\mathfrak{g})[A]$ is generated by A^* as a module over $S_p(\mathfrak{g})[A_0]$, hence A^* generates $Q(S_p(\mathfrak{g})[A])$ as a linear space over $Q(S_p(\mathfrak{g})[A_0])$. But $c(k,1) \notin S_p(\mathfrak{g})[A_0 \cup \dots \cup A_{k-1}]$ (see step 1 of the proof of 1.7) while $c(k,1)^p \in S_p(\mathfrak{g})[A_0]$, $k = 1,\dots,h$, hence $Q(S_p(\mathfrak{g})[A]) =$

$Q(S_p(\mathfrak{g})[c_0, c(k,1) \mid k = 1, \ldots, h])$ is of dimension p^h over $Q(S_p(\mathfrak{g})[A_0])$ with basis $\{c(1,1)^{i_1} c(2,1)^{i_2} \cdots c(h,1)^{i_h} \mid i_j = 0, \ldots, p-1\}$. Thus the p^h-set A^* form a basis of $Q(S_p(\mathfrak{g})[A])$ as a linear space over $Q(S_p(\mathfrak{g})[A_0])$ and therefore form a free basis of $S_p(\mathfrak{g})[A]$ over $S_p(\mathfrak{g})[A_0]$. In particular, $S_p(\mathfrak{g})[A]$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring (as a free module over the polynomial ring $S_p(\mathfrak{g})[c_0]$, see 1.8), thereby satisfies the condition (S_2).

Since $c_0 \notin Q(S_p(\mathfrak{g}))$, the polynomial f_0 is a prime element of $S_p(\mathfrak{g})[t_0]$, hence $S_p(\mathfrak{g})[t_0]/(f_0) \cong S_p(\mathfrak{g})[c_0]$. Let $R_0 = S_p(g)[t(k,i) \mid k = 1, \ldots, h,\ i = 1, \ldots, p-1]$ and let I_0 be the ideal of R_0 generated by the polynomials $f_k(i,j)$. From the definition of the $f_k(i,j)$'s (1.9) it follows that R_0/I_0 is generated by the homomorphic image of $\{1, t(k,i) \mid k = 1, \ldots, h,\ i = 1, \ldots, p-1\}$ as a module over $S_p(\mathfrak{g})[A_0]$. Since A^* is a free basis of $S_p(\mathfrak{g})[A]$ over $S_p(\mathfrak{g})[A_0]$, the natural map $R_0/I_0 \to S_p[A]$ is a ring isomorphism. Hence $R/I \cong S_p[A]$.

We shall show that the ring R/I satisfies the condition (R_1). Firstly, from the isomorphism $R/I \cong S_p[A]$ we have $\operatorname{ht} I = (p-1)h + 1$. Now, for $k = 1, \ldots, h$ let

$$g(k,1) = t(k,1)^p - c(k,1)^p$$

$$g(k,p-1) = t(k,p-1)^p - c(k,p-1)^p.$$

Then $g(k,1), g(k,p-1) \in I$. Indeed, it easy to verify that

$$t(k,1)^l = t(k,l)C(k)^{(l-1)p} \pmod{I},$$

$$t(k,p-1)^l = t(k,p-l)M(k)^{(l-1)p} \pmod{I}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} t(k,1)^p = t(k,1)t(k,1)^{p-1} &= t(k,0)M(k)^p C(k)^{(p-2)p} \\ &= (C(k)^{p-1}M(k))^p = c(k,1)^p \pmod{I}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} t(k,p-1)^p = t(k,p-1)t(k,p-1)^{p-1} &= t(k,0)M(k)^p M(k)^{(p-2)p} \\ &= (C(k)M(k)^{p-1})^p = c(k,p-1)^p \pmod{I}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the following vectors:

$$\begin{aligned} \overrightarrow{f_k} &= (f_k(1,1), f_k(1,2), \ldots, f_k(1,p-2)) \\ \overleftarrow{f_k} &= (f_k(p-1,p-1), f_k(p-2,p-1), \ldots, f_k(2,p-1)) \\ \overrightarrow{t_k} &= (t(k,2), t(k,3), \ldots, t(k,p-1)) \\ \overleftarrow{t_k} &= (t(k,p-2), t(k,p-3), \ldots, t(k,1)) \\ \varphi &= (f_0, \overrightarrow{f_1}, g(1,1), \overrightarrow{f_2}, g(2,1), \ldots, \overrightarrow{f_h}, g(h,1)) \\ x &= (e^p_{h+1,h+1}, \overrightarrow{t_1}, e^p_{1,1}, \overrightarrow{t_2}, e^p_{2,2}, \ldots, \overrightarrow{t_h}, e^p_{h,h}) \\ \varphi_k &= \text{the vector which is obtained from } \varphi \text{ by replacing } \overrightarrow{f_k} \text{ with } \overleftarrow{f_k}, \\ &\quad \text{and } g(k,1) \text{ with } g(k,p-1). \\ x_k &= \text{the vector which is obtained from } x \text{ by replacing } \overrightarrow{t_k} \text{ with } \overleftarrow{t_k}, \\ &\quad \text{and } e^p_{k,k} \text{ with } e^p_{k,n-k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\det(\partial\varphi/\partial x) = -C(1)^{2p(p-1)}\cdots C(h)^{2p(p-1)}$$

$$\det(\partial\varphi_k/\partial x_k) = -C(k-1)^{p(2p-1)}M(k)^{2p(p-2)}T(k)^p + YC(k)^p,$$

where $Y \in S_p(\mathfrak{g})$ and $C(0) := 1$.

Therefore, if P is an element of the singular locus of R such that $I \subset P$, then P contains one of the 2-sets $\{C(i)^p, C(j)^p\}$, $\{C(k)^p, T(k)^p\}$ (if $C(k) \in P$, consider $\det(\partial\varphi_k/\partial x_k)$ and observe that $M(k) \in P$ if and only if $T(k) \in P$), hence contains a prime ideal of $S_p(\mathfrak{g})$ of height 2. Consequently, P is of height $> \operatorname{ht} I + 1$. □

1.11. Let A be a commutative Noetherian ring (not necessarily local). We say that A is a *complete intersection ring* if $A = R/I$ where R is regular ring and I is an ideal generated by an R-sequence. This definition is customary in commutative algebra when R is *local*. Our definition comes from algebraic geometry; if A is the coordinate ring of a projective variety over an algebraically closed field k, then $A = R/I$ where R is a polynomial ring over k and the vanishing homogeneous ideal I is of height $\operatorname{codim} V$. Then V is the intersection of $\operatorname{codim} V$ hypersurfaces and I is generated by an R-sequence.

Corollary. *$S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a complete intersection ring if and only if $p = 2$.*

1.12. Question. Is $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ a Gorenstein ring? (for the definition of Gorenstein ring see e.g. [6]).

1.13. Suppose that p does not divide n. An explicit realization of the Poisson center $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}}$ is next obtained from our realization of $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Clearly, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{b} \oplus Fc_0$. Since c_0 is central, $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}} = S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}}[c_0]$. The element c_0 is transcendental over $S(\mathfrak{b})$, therefore, any generator of $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ has a unique expression as a polynomial in c_0 with coefficients in $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}}$. Furthermore, these coefficients generate $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}}$ over F.

For $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$, let $\varepsilon(i,i) = e_{i,i} - e_{n,n}$ be a basis element of the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{b}$. Clearly, the coefficients of $e_{i,j}^p$, $1 \le i \le j \le n$ (the generators of $S_p(\mathfrak{g})$) belong to the polynomial ring

$$S_p(\mathfrak{b}) = F[\{\varepsilon(i,i)^p \mid 1 \le i \le n-1\} \cup \{e_{i,j}^p \mid 1 \le i < j \le n\}].$$

Let us find the coefficients of $c(k,l)$, $1 \le k \le h$, $0 \le l \le p-1$ (cf. 1.1, 1.2). Since $D(k) \in \mathfrak{g}$, there exist $D_{\mathfrak{b}}(k) \in \mathfrak{b}$, $\alpha \in F$ such that $D(k) = D_{\mathfrak{b}}(k) + \alpha c_0$. Explicitly (obtained by solving a system of linear equations),

$$D_{\mathfrak{b}}(k) = \left(1 - \frac{2k-1}{n}\right)\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\varepsilon(i,i) + \varepsilon(n-i+1, n-i+1)) - \frac{2k-1}{n}\sum_{i=k+1}^{n-k}\varepsilon(i,i).$$

Denote

$$M_{\mathfrak{b}}(k) = C(k)D_{\mathfrak{b}}(k) + T(k).$$

From $c(k,l) = C(k)^{p-l}M(k)^l$ and $M(k) = C(k)D(k) + T(k)$ one get

$$c(k,l) = C(k)^{p-l}(M_{\mathfrak{b}}(k) + \alpha C(k)c_0)^l.$$

Denote

$$c_{\mathfrak{b}}(k,l) = C(k)^{p-l}M_{\mathfrak{b}}(k)^l.$$

By the binomial formula,

$$c(k,l) = \sum_{i=0}^{l} \alpha^{l-i} \binom{l}{i} c_{\mathfrak{b}}(k,i)^i c_0^{l-i}.$$

Therefore, up to scalar multiplication, the coefficients of the $c(k,l)$'s are the $c_{\mathfrak{b}}(k,l)$'s.

So we have

1.14. Theorem. *Suppose p does not divide n. The Poisson center $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}}$ is generated over $S_p(\mathfrak{b})$ by the elements $c_{\mathfrak{b}}(k,l)$, $1 \le k \le h$, $1 \le l \le p-1$.*

1.15. Theorem. *Suppose p does not divide n. The Poisson center $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}}$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring.*

Proof. $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring (Theorem 1.10) and $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}} = S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}}[c_0]$ where c_0 is transcendental over $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}}$, so $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}}$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring. □

2. The center of U(𝔤)

2.1. In this section, $\mathrm{char}(F) = p > 0$. Let $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ be the center of the enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$. According to 1.1, we use the same notation $e_{i,j}$ for a typical standard basis element of $\mathfrak{g}$, as well for $D(k)$,$C(k)$,$T(k)$ and $M(k)$, consider them as elements of $U(\mathfrak{g})$. The elements correspond to c_0 and $c(k,l)$ defined in 1.2 will respectively denoted by z_0 and $z(k,l)$.

2.2. The corresponding polynomial ring of $S_p(\mathfrak{g})$ in $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ is

$$Z_p(\mathfrak{g}) = F[\{e_{i,i}^p - e_{i,i} \mid i = 1, \dots, n\} \cup \{e_{i,j}^p \mid 1 \le i < j \le n\}].$$

Clearly, $z_0 \in Z(\mathfrak{g})$. The proof of Proposition 1.4, as is, shows that $C(k), M(k) \in U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{n}}$ and $z(k,l) \in Z(\mathfrak{g})$. In Particular, $C(k)$ and $M(k)$ mutually commute and therefore relations (1) hold in $U(\mathfrak{g})$, that is,

$$z(k,i)z(k,j) = z(k,r(i,j))C(k)^{p(1-s(i,j))}M(k)^{ps(i,j)}. \tag{3}$$

2.3. The observations in 2.2 suggest that $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ is generated over $Z_p(\mathfrak{g})$ by z_0 and the $z(k,l)$'s, and it is isomorphic to $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ as a commutative algebra over F. The following paragraphs is devoted to prove these facts.

2.4. The well known facts in this paragraph are valid for *any* finitely generated Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$ over *any* field F [2].

For a non negative integer m, let $U_m(\mathcal{L})$ be the linear subspace of $U(\mathcal{L})$ generated by the products $x_1x_2\cdots x_q$, where $x_1, \dots, x_q \in \mathcal{L}$ and $q \le m$. If u is a non zero element of $U(\mathcal{L})$, the smallest integer m such that $u \in U_m(\mathcal{L})$ is termed the *filtration of* u. If u is a non zero element of $U(\mathcal{L})$ with filtration m, the *grading of* u is the image of u in the linear space $U_m(\mathcal{L})/U_{m-1}(\mathcal{L})$ ($U_{-1}(\mathcal{L}) := 0$) and we denoted it by $\mathrm{gr}\, u$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is a subalgebra of $U(\mathcal{L})$, the *grading of* $\mathcal{A}$ is the commutative graded algebra

$$\mathrm{gr}\,\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{m \ge 0} (U_m(\mathcal{L}) \cap \mathcal{A} + U_{m-1}(\mathcal{L}))/U_{m-1}(\mathcal{L})$$

In particular, if $\mathcal{A} = U(\mathcal{L})$ then $\mathrm{gr}\, U(\mathcal{L}) = \bigoplus_{m\ge 0} U_m(\mathcal{L})/U_{m-1}(\mathcal{L}) \cong S(\mathcal{L})$.

Lemma. If $u_1, \dots, u_l \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\mathrm{gr}\,\mathcal{A} = F[\mathrm{gr}\, u_1, \dots, \mathrm{gr}\, u_l]$, then $\mathcal{A} = F[u_1, \dots, u_l]$.

2.5. Theorem.

a. $Z(\mathfrak{g}) = Z_p(\mathfrak{g})[z_0, z(k,l) \mid 1 \le k \le h,\ 1 \le l \le p-1]$.

b. $Z(\mathfrak{g}) \cong S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Proof. a. We set $S(\mathfrak{g}) = \operatorname{gr} U(\mathfrak{g})$. Then $\operatorname{gr} Z(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$. Clearly, $\operatorname{gr} u^p = (\operatorname{gr} u)^p$ for every element $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$, and $\operatorname{gr}(e_{i,i}^p - e_{i,i}) = \operatorname{gr} e_{i,i}^p$ for each $i = 1, \dots n$. By Theorem 1.10, $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is generated over F by the grading of the elements $e_{i,i}^p - e_{i,i}$ $(1 \le i \le n)$, $e_{i,j}^p$ $(1 \le i < j \le n)$, z_0 and $z(k,l)$ $(1 \le k \le h,\ 1 \le l \le p-1)$. Therefore,

$$S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}} = \operatorname{gr}(Z_p(\mathfrak{g})[z_0, z(k,l) \mid 1 \le k \le h,\ 1 \le l \le p-1]) \subseteq \operatorname{gr} Z(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Hence $\operatorname{gr} Z(\mathfrak{g}) = S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\operatorname{gr} Z(\mathfrak{g})$ is generated over F by the grading of the following elements: $e_{i,i}^p - e_{i,i}$ $(1 \le i \le n)$, $e_{i,j}^p$ $(1 \le i < j \le n)$, z_0 and $z(k,l)$ $(1 \le k \le h,\ 1 \le l \le p-1)$. The assertion follows from Lemma 2.4.

b. By Theorem 1.10, $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}} \cong R/I$. Let $\varphi : R \to Z(\mathfrak{g})$ be the F-algebra epimorphism defined by $\varphi((\operatorname{gr} e_{i,i})^p) = e_{i,i}^p - e_{i,i}$ $(1 \le i \le n)$, $\varphi(\operatorname{gr}(e_{i,j})^p) = e_{i,j}^p$ $(1 \le i < j \le n)$, $\varphi(t_0) = z_0$ and $\varphi(t(k,l)) = z(k,l)$ $(1 \le k \le h,\ 1 \le l \le p-1)$. Then $I \subseteq \ker \varphi$ due to the relations in 2.2. Hence $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ is a homomorphic image of R/I. The rings $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ and R/I are both domains with equal Krull dimension $(= K.\dim S_p(\mathfrak{g}) = K.\dim Z_p(\mathfrak{g}) = \dim_F \mathfrak{g})$, therefore $R/I \cong Z(\mathfrak{g})$. □

2.6. From Theorems 1.10, 2.5 we also have

Corollary. *$Z(\mathfrak{g})$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring, and it is a complete intersection ring if and only if $p = 2$.*

2.7. In analogy to 1.12 we have

Question. Is $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ a Gorenstein ring?.

2.8. Suppose that p does not divide n. An explicit realization of $Z(\mathfrak{b})$ is next obtained from our realization of $Z(\mathfrak{g})$.

Clearly, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{b} \oplus Fz_0$. Since z_0 is central, $Z(\mathfrak{g}) = Z(\mathfrak{b})[z_0]$. The element z_0 is transcendental over $Z(\mathfrak{b})$, therefore, any generator of $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ has a unique expression as a polynomial in z_0 with coefficients in $Z(\mathfrak{b})$. Furthermore, these coefficients generate $Z(\mathfrak{b})$ over F.

For $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, let $\varepsilon(i,i) = e_{i,i} - e_{n,n}$ be a basis element of the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{b}$. The expression of a standard basis element of $\mathfrak{g}$ as a linear combination of z_0 and the basis elements of $\mathfrak{b}$, is over $\mathbb{F}_p$ (the prime field of F). It follows that the coefficients of the generators of $Z_p(\mathfrak{g})$ belong to the polynomial ring

$$Z_p(\mathfrak{b}) = F[\{\varepsilon(i,i)^p - \varepsilon(i,i) \mid 1 \le i \le n-1\} \cup \{e_{i,j}^p \mid 1 \le i < j \le n\}].$$

Let us find the coefficients of $z(k,l)$, $1 \le k \le h,\ 0 \le l \le p-1$. Since $D(k) \in \mathfrak{g}$, there exist $D_{\mathfrak{b}}(k) \in \mathfrak{b}$, $\alpha \in F$ such that $D(k) = D_{\mathfrak{b}}(k) + \alpha z_0$. Explicitly (obtained by solving a system of linear equations),

$$D_{\mathfrak{b}}(k) = \left(1 - \frac{2k-1}{n}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\varepsilon(i,i) + \varepsilon(n-i+1, n-i+1)) - \frac{2k-1}{n} \sum_{i=k+1}^{n-k} \varepsilon(i,i).$$

Denote

$$M_{\mathfrak{b}}(k) = C(k) D_{\mathfrak{b}}(k) + T(k).$$

From $z(k,l) = C(k)^{p-l}M(k)^l$ and $M(k) = C(k)D(k) + T(k)$ one get

$$z(k,l) = C(k)^{p-l}(M_{\mathfrak{b}}(k) + \alpha C(k)z_0)^l.$$

Denote

$$z_{\mathfrak{b}}(k,l) = C(k)^{p-l}M_{\mathfrak{b}}(k)^l.$$

Since $C(k)$ and $M(k)$ mutually commute (Proposition 1.4a), the elements $C(k)$ and $M_{\mathfrak{b}}(k)$ mutually commute. We can therefore apply the binomial formula,

$$z(k,l) = \sum_{i=0}^{l} \alpha^{l-i} \binom{l}{i} z_{\mathfrak{b}}(k,i)^i z_0^{l-i}.$$

Therefore, up to scalar multiplication, the coefficients of the $z(k,l)$'s are the $z_{\mathfrak{b}}(k,l)$'s.

So we have

2.9. Theorem. *Suppose p does not divide n. The center $Z(\mathfrak{b})$ is generated over $Z_p(\mathfrak{b})$ by the elements $z_{\mathfrak{b}}(k,l)$, $1 \le k \le h$, $1 \le l \le p-1$.*

2.10. Theorem. *Suppose p does not divide n. Then $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}} \cong Z(\mathfrak{b})$. In particular, $Z(\mathfrak{b})$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring.*

Proof. Clearly, $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}} \cong S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}}[c_0]/(c_0)$ and $Z(\mathfrak{b}) \cong Z(\mathfrak{b})[z_0]/(z_0)$. Also, $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}} = S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}}[c_0]$ and $Z(\mathfrak{g}) = Z(\mathfrak{b})[z_0]$. The isomorphism in Theorem 2.5b maps the variable c_0 to the variable z_0, hence induces an isomorphism of $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}}$ onto $Z(\mathfrak{b})$. By Theorem 1.15, $Z(\mathfrak{b})$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring. □

3. From positive characteristic to zero characteristic

3.1. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a finitely generated Lie algebra over a field $\mathfrak{K}$ of characteristic zero. Suppose $\mathcal{L}$ admits the following property:

$\mathbf{P_1}$: *There exists a basis $B = (x_1, \dots, x_r)$ of $\mathcal{L}$ such that for each i the matrix $[\operatorname{ad} x_i]_B$ of $\operatorname{ad} x_i$ acting on B consists of integer entries.*

Let ϕ be a field of a prime characteristic p. Denote by $\mathcal{L}_p(\phi)$ the "corresponding" algebra over ϕ. That is, $\mathcal{L}_p(\phi)$ is the Lie algebra over ϕ with basis $C = (y_1, \dots, y_r)$ such that $[\operatorname{ad} y_i]_C = [\operatorname{ad} x_i]_B \pmod p$ for each i.

For an element $a \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ denote by $\overline{a}$ the image of a in $\mathbb{F}_p[y_1, \dots, y_r]$. Thus $\overline{x_i} = y_i$ for each i.

Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be a Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}$ generated by a subset of B and denote by $\mathfrak{h}_p(\phi)$ the corresponding Lie subalgebra over ϕ. Suppose we have following property as well:

$\mathbf{P_2}$: *There exist homogeneous polynomials $c_1, \dots, c_s$ in $S(\mathcal{L})^{\mathfrak{h}} \cap \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ such that from some prime p on, there exist homogeneous polynomials $q_1, \dots, q_m \in \mathbb{F}_p[y_1, \dots, y_r]$, $\deg q_i \ge p$ (with respect to the y_i's) and*

$$S(\mathcal{L}_p(\phi))^{\mathfrak{h}_p(\phi)} = \phi[q_1, \dots, q_m, \overline{c_1}, \dots, \overline{c_s}]$$

for every field ϕ of characteristic p.

In property $\mathbf{P_2}$ it is crucial that s and the invariants c_i do not depend in p (from some prime p on). Properties $\mathbf{P_1}$ and $\mathbf{P_2}$ suggest that $S(\mathcal{L})^{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{K}[c_1, \dots, c_s]$. This is one of the main results of the present chapter.

3.2. Lemma. [1, Lemma 5.2] *Let $\mathcal{A} = \phi[a_1, \ldots, a_k]$ be a subring of a polynomial ring $\phi[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$ over a field ϕ such that each a_i is homogenous of degree d_i. Assume $d_i \leq d_{i+1}$ for each i. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is homogenous of degree d (with respect to the t_i's) then $f \in \phi[a_1, \ldots, a_l]$ where $d_l \leq d < d_{l+1}$.*

3.3. For a $\mathfrak{K}$-subspace M of $S(\mathcal{L})^{\mathfrak{h}}$ we shall denote by M_d the subspace of M consisting of homogeneous elements of degree d.

The next theorem is a slight modification of [1, Theorem 5.3].

Theorem. *If $\mathcal{L}$ satisfies $\mathbf{P_1}$ and $\mathbf{P_2}$ then $S(\mathcal{L})^{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{K}[c_1, \ldots, c_s]$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{L}$ satisfies $\mathbf{P_1}$, there exists a Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ having a Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathfrak{K} = \mathcal{L}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathfrak{K} = \mathfrak{h}$. Since $S(\mathcal{L}) = S(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathfrak{K}$ we have $S(\mathcal{L})^{\mathfrak{h}} = S(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{Q}})^{\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Q}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathfrak{K}$. Clearly, $S(\mathcal{L})^{\mathfrak{h}} = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} S(\mathcal{L})^{\mathfrak{h}}_d$. Therefore, we can assume $\mathfrak{K} = \mathbb{Q}$, $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{Q}}$, $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and we shall prove $S(\mathcal{L})^{\mathfrak{h}}_d = \mathbb{Q}[c_1, \ldots, c_s]_d$ for every non negative integer d.

Let p be a prime number, $p > d$. We have the natural epimorphism

$$\rho_p : \mathbb{Z}_p[x_1, \ldots, x_r] \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_p[y_1, \ldots, y_r] = S(\mathcal{L}_p(\mathbb{F}_p))$$

considering $\mathbb{Z}_p[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$ as a subring of $S(\mathcal{L}) = \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$ ($\mathbb{Z}_p$ is the localization of the ring of integers $\mathbb{Z}$ at p). Note that ρ_p is an extension of the natural map $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_r] \to \mathbb{F}_p[y_1, \ldots, y_r]$. We clearly have

$$\rho_p(S(\mathcal{L})^{\mathfrak{h}} \cap \mathbb{Z}_p[x_1, \ldots, x_r]) \subseteq S(\mathcal{L}_p(\mathbb{F}_p))^{\mathfrak{h}_p(\mathbb{F}_p)}.$$

Let W be the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-submodule of $S(\mathcal{L})^{\mathfrak{h}}_d$ consisting of all $\mathbb{Z}_p$-polynomials. Let $V = \mathbb{Z}_p[c_1, \ldots, c_s]_d$. Clearly, $V \subseteq W$ and they are finitely generated free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules.

We shall next show that $V = W$. Let $f \in W$. Since $d < p$, it follows from Lemma 3.2 and $\mathbf{P_2}$ that $\rho_p(f) \in \mathbb{F}_p[\overline{c_1}, \ldots, \overline{c_s}]_d$. Therefore $f \in \mathbb{Z}_p[c_1, \ldots, c_s]_d + p\mathbb{Z}_p[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$ and there exist $f_0 \in V$, $h_1 \in W$ such that $f = f_0 + ph_1$. Apply similar arguments to h_1 and conclude that $h_1 = f_1 + ph_2$ for some $f_1 \in V$, $h_2 \in W$. Similarly we can successively choose $f_i \in V$ $(i = 1, \ldots, n-1)$ and $h_n \in W$ such that

$$f = f_0 + pf_1 + p^2 f_2 + \ldots + p^{n-1} f_{n-1} + p^n h_n.$$

Denote $v_n = f_0 + pf_1 + p^2 f_2 + \ldots + p^{n-1} f_{n-1}$ for each n. In particular $v_n \in V$. Consider the completion $\widehat{W}$ with respect to the linear topology defined by the filtration $\{p^n W \mid n = 1, 2, \ldots\}$. We identify $\widehat{V}$ as an $\mathbb{Z}_p$-submodule of $\widehat{W}$ (The topology of $\widehat{V}$ is the subspace topology; is the same thing as the linear topology defined by the filtration $\{V \cap p^n W \mid n = 1, 2, \ldots\}$). Let $\psi | W \to \widehat{W}$ be the natural map. We have

$$\psi(f) = (f + p^n W)_{n=1}^{\infty} = (v_n + p^n h_n + p^n W)_{n=1}^{\infty} = (v_n + p^n W)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} W/p^n W.$$

Therefore, each component of $\psi(f)$ can be represented by $v_n \in V$. Thus $\psi(f) \in \widehat{V}$. Hence $\psi(W) \subseteq \widehat{V}$ because we have started with an arbitrary f in W. Hence $\widehat{W} \subseteq \widehat{V}$ (because $\widehat{W} = \mathrm{Cl}_{\widehat{W}}(\psi(W)) \subseteq \mathrm{Cl}_{\widehat{W}}(\widehat{V}) = \widehat{V}$, where Cl stands for the closure). So we have $\widehat{V} = \widehat{W}$. From the isomorphism $\widehat{W/V} \cong \widehat{W}/\widehat{V}$ ([6], Theorem 8.1) we get $\widehat{W/V} = 0$. Consider the p-adic completion $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}_p}$. From the isomorphism $\widehat{W/V} \cong (W/V) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \widehat{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ ([6], Theorem 7.2) we conclude $V = W$. Finally, $S(\mathcal{L})^{\mathfrak{h}}_d = W \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q} = V \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}[c_1, \ldots, c_r]_d$. □

3.4. Theorem. *Suppose that* $p = \mathrm{char}(F) = 0$. *Then* $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}} = F[c_0]$ *and* $Z(\mathfrak{g}) = F[z_0]$. *In particular,* $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}} \cong Z(\mathfrak{g})$ *as polynomial rings of one variable.*

Proof. Clearly, $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfies $\mathbf{P_1}$ with respect to the standard basis $(e_{i,j})_{1\le i\le j\le n}$. By Theorem 1.10, for every prime p and for every field ϕ of characteristic p, the corresponding algebra $\mathfrak{g}_p(\phi)$ is generated over ϕ by the elements c_0, $e_{i,j}^p$ and $c(k,l)$, $1 \le k \le \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$, $1 \le l \le p-1$. They are all homogeneous with respect to the standard basis and, the degrees of $e_{i,j}^p$ and $c(k,l)$ are $\ge p$ ($\deg c(k,l) = kp + l$). Therefore, $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfies $\mathbf{P_2}$. By Theorem 3.3 we have $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}} = F[c_0]$.

We set $S(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathrm{gr}\, U(\mathfrak{g})$ (see 2.4). Then $\mathrm{gr}\, Z(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$. On the other hand,

$$S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}} = F[c_0] = F[\mathrm{gr}\, z_0] = \mathrm{gr}\, F[z_0] \subseteq \mathrm{gr}\, Z(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Hence $\mathrm{gr}\, Z(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathrm{gr}\, F[z_0]$. By Lemma 2.4 we have $Z(\mathfrak{g}) = F[z_0]$. □

3.5. Remark. In Theorem 3.4, if the field F is *algebraically closed*, the fact $Z(\mathfrak{g}) = F[z_0]$ also can be deduced from $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}} = F[c_0]$ by using *Duflo isomorphism* [4, Theorem 10.4.5].

3.6. Theorem. *Suppose that* $p = \mathrm{char}(F) = 0$. *Then* $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}} = Z(\mathfrak{b}) = F$.

Proof. $S(\mathfrak{g}) = S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}}[c_0]$ and $Z(\mathfrak{g}) = Z(\mathfrak{b})[c_0]$. By Theorem 3.4, $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}}[c_0] = F[c_0]$ and $Z(\mathfrak{b})[z_0] = F[z_0]$. Therefore $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}} = Z(\mathfrak{b}) = F$. □

3.7. Remark. Theorem 3.6 can also be deduced from Theorems 1.14 and 3.3; $\mathfrak{b}$ clearly admits $\mathbf{P_1}$ and $\mathbf{P_2}$ where the set of the q_i's in 3.1 is the set of the $c_{\mathfrak{b}}(k,l)$'s, and the set of the $c_i's$ in 3.1 is empty (loosely speaking, there are no zero characteristic generators while the degrees of the positive characteristic generators are getting higher as the characteristic grows).

4. The Poisson semi-center of S(g)

4.1. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a finite dimensional Lie algebra over F. A non zero element $f \in S(\mathcal{L})$ is called *semi-invariant with weight* $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}^*$ if $\mathrm{ad}\, x(f) = \lambda(x)f$ for all $x \in \mathcal{L}$. The F-algebra generated by the semi-invariants in $S(\mathcal{L})$ is denoted by $S(\mathcal{L})^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathrm{si}}$ and is called the *Poisson semi-center of* $S(\mathcal{L})$. The Poisson center $S(\mathcal{L})^{\mathcal{L}}$ is therefore the F-subalgebra of $S(\mathcal{L})^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathrm{si}}$ consists of the semi-invariants with weight 0.

4.2. We shall use the following well known linear algebra result.

Lemma. Let $\mathfrak{V}$ be a linear space over a field ϕ, and $d_1, \ldots, d_r$ commuting linear transformations on $\mathfrak{V}$. Suppose that each d_i satisfies a semi-simple split equation over ϕ. Let $\mathfrak{U}$ be the linear subspace of $Hom_\phi(\mathfrak{V})$ generated by $d_1, \ldots, d_r$. For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{U}^*$ let $\mathfrak{V}_\lambda$ the linear subspace of $\mathfrak{V}$ consisting of the elements v such that $d_i v = \lambda(d_i)v$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, r$. Then $\mathfrak{V} = \bigoplus \mathfrak{V}_\lambda$ (a finite direct sum).

Proposition. If $p > 0$ then $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{si}} = S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{n}}$.

Proof. For a linear functional $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, let $S(\mathfrak{g})_\lambda = \{f \in S(\mathfrak{g}) \mid \mathrm{ad}\, x(f) = \lambda(x)f,\ x \in \mathfrak{g}\}$. Then

$$S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{si}} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^*} S(\mathfrak{g})_\lambda.$$

Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. Since $\mathfrak{n} = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$, $\lambda(\mathfrak{n}) = 0$. Therefore, $S(\mathfrak{g})_\lambda \subseteq S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{n}}$, thus $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{si}} \subseteq S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{n}}$.

Apply the above Lemma with $\mathfrak{V} = S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{n}}$ and $d_i = \mathrm{ad}\, e_{i,i}$ and conclude $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{n}} \subseteq S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{si}}$ (the transformations $\mathrm{ad}\, e_{i,i}$ satisfy the polynomial $t^p - t$). □

4.3. Theorem. *If $p > 0$ then*

$$Q(S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{si}}) = Q(S_p(\mathfrak{g})[c_0, C(k), M(k) \mid k = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor]).$$

Proof. Clearly, the field extension $Q(S_p(\mathfrak{g})[c_0])/Q(S_p(\mathfrak{g}))$ is of degree p.
The extension $Q(S_p(\mathfrak{g})[c_0, C(1)])/Q(S_p(\mathfrak{g})[c_0])$ is of degree p because $\operatorname{ad} e_{1,1}(C(1)) = C(1)$ while $\operatorname{ad} e_{1,1}(S_p(\mathfrak{g})[c_0]) = 0$. The extension $Q(S_p(\mathfrak{g})[c_0, C(1), M(1)])/Q(S_p(\mathfrak{g})[c_0, C(1)])$ is of degree p since $\operatorname{ad} e_{n,1}(M(1)) \notin S(\mathfrak{g})$ while $\operatorname{ad} e_{n,1}(S_p(\mathfrak{g})[c_0, C(1)]) \in S(\mathfrak{g})$ (as in the proof of Theorem 1.7).

For $1 \leq k < \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, the extension

$$Q(S_p(\mathfrak{g})[c_0, C(l), M(l), C(k+1) \mid l = 1, \dots, k])/Q(S_p(\mathfrak{g})[c_0, C(l), M(l) \mid l = 1, \dots, k])$$

is of degree p since $\operatorname{ad} e_{k+1,k+1}(C(k+1)) = C(k+1)$ while
$\operatorname{ad} e_{k+1,k+1}(S_p[c_0]) = 0$, $\operatorname{ad} e_{k+1,k+1}(C(l)) = 0$ and $\operatorname{ad} e_{k+1,k+1}(M(l)) = 0$ for $1 \leq l \leq k$.

If n is even, the extension

$$Q(S_p(\mathfrak{g})[c_0, C(l), M(l) \mid l = 1, \dots, k+1])/Q(S_p(\mathfrak{g})[c_0, C(l), M(l), C(k+1) \mid l = 1, \dots, k])$$

is of degree p because $\operatorname{ad} e_{n-k,k+1}(M(k+1)) \notin S(\mathfrak{g})$ while $\operatorname{ad} e_{n-k,k+1}(C(k+1)) \in S(\mathfrak{g})$,
$\operatorname{ad} e_{n-k,k+1}(S_p(\mathfrak{g})[c_0]) = 0$, $\operatorname{ad} e_{n-k,k+1}(C(l)) = 0$ and $\operatorname{ad} e_{n-k,k+1}(M(l)) = 0$ for $1 \leq l \leq k$. It follows that the field extension

$$Q(S_p(\mathfrak{g})[c_0, C(k), M(k) \mid k = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor])/Q(S_p(\mathfrak{g}))$$

is of degree $p^{1+\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + h} = p^n$.

We proceed as in [1, Proposition 1.13] and Theorem 1.7. Let r, i, j, k be integers such that $1 \leq r \leq n-1$, $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, $k+1 \leq j \leq n-k$. For each $e_{i,j} \in \hat{d}(r)$ we have
(1) If $e_{i,j}$ is lying above the anti-diagonal of $\mathfrak{M}$ then

$$\operatorname{ad} T_i(i,j)(e_{i,j}) \neq 0 \text{ and}$$

$$\operatorname{ad} T_i(i,j)(\hat{d}(r) \setminus \{e_{i,j}\} \cup \hat{d}(r+1) \cup \ldots \cup \hat{d}(n-1)) = 0.$$

(2) If $e_{i,j}$ is lying below the anti-diagonal of $\mathfrak{M}$, then

$$\operatorname{ad} S_i(1, n-j+1)(e_{i,j}) \neq 0 \text{ and}$$

$$\operatorname{ad} S_i(1, n-j+1)(\hat{d}(r) \setminus \{e_{i,j}\} \cup \hat{d}(r+1) \cup \ldots \cup \hat{d}(n-1)) = 0.$$

Let $\{\hat{\mathfrak{n}}\} = \bigcup_{r=1}^{n-1} \hat{d}(r)$. The set $\{\hat{\mathfrak{n}}\}$ consisting of $\frac{n(n-1)}{2} - \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ elements. It follows that the field extension $Q(S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}[\{\hat{\mathfrak{n}}\}])/Q(S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}})$ is of degree $p^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2} - \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$.

Now, for $k = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ we have $\operatorname{ad} C(k)(e_{k,k}) = -C(k)$, $\operatorname{ad} C(k)(e_{l,l}) = 0$ when $l < k$, and by Proposition 1.2.a. $\operatorname{ad} C(k)(S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{n}}[\{\hat{\mathfrak{n}}\}]) = 0$. It follows that the field extension

$$Q(S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{n}}[\{\hat{\mathfrak{n}}\} \cup \{e_{k,k} \mid k = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor\}])/Q(S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{n}}[\{\hat{\mathfrak{n}}\}])$$

is of degree $p^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$. Therefore, the degree of

$$Q(S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{n}}[\{\hat{\mathfrak{n}}\} \cup \{e_{k,k} \mid k = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor\}])/Q(S_p(\mathfrak{g}))$$

is at least

$$p^n p^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}-\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor p\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor} = p^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} = p^{\dim \mathfrak{g}},$$

which is the degree of $Q(S(\mathfrak{g}))/Q(S_p(\mathfrak{g}))$. By degree considerations we must have

$$Q(S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{n}}) = Q(S_p(\mathfrak{g})[c_0, C(k), M(k) \mid k = 1, \ldots, \lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor]).$$

Proposition 4.2 complete the proof. □

4.4. Let $t_0, t_{C(k)}, t_{M(l)}$, $k = 1, \ldots, \lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor$, $l = 1, \ldots, h$, be algebraically independent elements over $S_p(\mathfrak{g})$. Consider the polynomial ring (of n variables):

$$R = S_p(g)[t_0, t_{C(k)}, t_{M(l)} \mid k = 1, \ldots \lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor,\ l = 1, \ldots h]$$

and the following n polynomials of R:

$$f_0 = t_0^p - c_0^p \quad , \quad f_{C(k)} = t_{C(k)}^p - C(k)^p \quad , \quad f_{M(l)} = t_{M(l)}^p - M(l)^p.$$

Let I be the ideal of R generated by f_0, $f_{C(k)}$, $f_{M(l)}$, $k = 1, \ldots, \lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor$, $l = 1, \ldots, h$.

4.5. Theorem. *If $p > 0$ then*

$$S(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathrm{si}}^{\mathfrak{g}} = S_p(\mathfrak{g})[c_0, C(k), M(k) \mid k = 1, \ldots, \lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor] \cong R/I.$$

Consequently, $S(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathrm{si}}^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a complete intersection ring.

Proof. Besides the use of the Jacobian criterion for regularity, our proof consists of identical arguments appear in [1, Theorem 1.21] (some of them also appear in the proof of Theorem 1.10] and we shall not repeat them.

Consider the following vectors (of length n):

$$\varphi = \begin{cases} (f_0, f_{C(1)}, f_{M(1)}, \ldots, f_{C(h)}, f_{M(h)}) & n \text{ is odd} \\ (f_0, f_{C(1)}, f_{M(1)}, \ldots, f_{C(h)}, f_{M(h)}, f_{C(h+1)}) & n \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

$$x = \begin{cases} (e^p_{h+1,h+1}, e^p_{1,n}, e^p_{1,1}, e^p_{2,n-1}, \ldots, e^p_{k,k}, e^p_{k+1,n-k}, \ldots, e^p_{h-1,h-1}, e^p_{h,n-h+1}, e^p_{h,h}) & n \text{ is odd} \\ (e^p_{h+1,h+1}, e^p_{1,n}, e^p_{1,1}, e^p_{2,n-1}, \ldots, e^p_{k,k}, e^p_{k+1,n-k}, \ldots, e^p_{h,h}, e^p_{h+1,n-h}) & n \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\det(\partial\varphi/\partial x) = \pm C(1)^{2p} \cdots C(h-1)^{2p} C(h)^{ip}$$

where $i = 1$ if n is odd, while $i = 2$ if n is even.

Let x_k be the vector which is obtained from x by replacing $e^p_{k,k}$ with $e^p_{k,k+1}$, and $e^p_{k+1,n-k}$ with $e^p_{k,n-k}$, $1 \le k \le h$ (if $k = h$ and n is odd, we only replace $e^p_{h,h}$ with $e^p_{h,h+1}$). We have

$$\det(\partial\varphi/\partial x_k) = \pm C(1)^{2p} \cdots C(k-1)^{2p} T_k(k, k+1)^{\alpha p} C(k+1)^{2p} \cdots C(h-1)^{2p} C(h)^{\beta p}$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in \{1, 2\}$. Therefore, if P is an element of the singular locus of R such that $I \subset P$, then P contains one of the 2-sets $\{C(i)^p, C(j)^p\}$, $\{C(k)^p, T_k(k, k+1)^p\}$, hence contains a prime ideal of $S_p(\mathfrak{g})$ of height 2. □

4.6. Theorem. *Suppose that* $\mathrm{char}(F) = p = 0$. *Then*

$$S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{si}} = F[c_0, C(k), M(k) \mid k = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor].$$

In particular, the Poisson center $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{si}}$ *is a polynomial ring of* n *variables.*

Proof. The assertions follows from 3.3, 4.2, 4.5 and PBW. □

4.7. Suppose that p does not divide n. An explicit realization of the Poisson semi-center $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathrm{si}}$ is next obtained from our realization of $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{si}}$.

Clearly, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{b} \oplus Fc_0$ and c_0 is central. By extending $\lambda \in \mathfrak{b}^*$ to $\mathfrak{g}^*$ by $\lambda(c_0) = 0$ one get $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathrm{si}}[c_0] \subseteq S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{si}}$. Since c_0 is transcendental over $S(\mathfrak{b})$ we have $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{si}} \subseteq S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathrm{si}}[c_0]$. Hence $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{si}} = S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathrm{si}}[c_0]$ (thus $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathrm{si}} = S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{n}}$) and any generator of $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{si}}$ has a unique expression as a polynomial in c_0 with coefficients in $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathrm{si}}$. Furthermore, these coefficients generate $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathrm{si}}$ over F. By Theorem 4.5 (and with the notation of 1.13) we therefore have

4.8. Theorem. *Suppose* $p > 0$ *and* p *does not divide* n. *Then*

$$S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathrm{si}} = S_p(\mathfrak{b})[C(k), M_{\mathfrak{b}}(k) \mid k = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor],$$

and $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathrm{si}}$ *is a complete intersection ring.*

4.9. By Theorems 3.3, 4.8 we have

Theorem. *Suppose that* $\mathrm{char}(F) = p = 0$. *Then*

$$S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathrm{si}} = F[C(k), M_{\mathfrak{b}}(k) \mid k = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor].$$

In particular, the Poisson center $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathrm{si}}$ *is a polynomial ring of* $n - 1$ *variables.*

5. The semi-center of U(g)

5.1. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a finite dimensional Lie algebra over F. A non zero element $u \in Z(\mathcal{L})$ is called *semi-central with weight* $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}^*$ if $[x, u] = \lambda(x)u$ for all $x \in \mathcal{L}$. The F-algebra generated by the semi-central elements in $Z(\mathcal{L})$ is denoted by $Sz(\mathcal{L})$ and is called the *semi-center of* $U(\mathcal{L})$. Clearly, $Z(\mathcal{L})$ is the F-subalgebra of $Sz(\mathcal{L})$ consists of the semi-central elements with weight 0. By [3, Proposition 2.1] $Sz(\mathcal{L})$ is commutative.

5.2. We use the same notation for $C(k)$,$M(k)$ and $M_{\mathfrak{b}}(k)$, consider them as elements of $U(\mathfrak{g})$.

5.3. The arguments here follow those of Theorems 2.5, 3.4 almost verbatim, with $C(k)$, $M(k)$ replacing $c(l, k)$.

Theorem.

a. *If* $p > 0$ *then*

$$Sz(\mathfrak{g}) = Z_p(\mathfrak{g})[z_0, C(k), M(k) \mid k = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor] \cong S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{si}}.$$

In particular, $Sz(\mathfrak{g})$ *is a complete intersection ring.*

b. *If* $p = 0$ *then*

$$Sz(\mathfrak{g}) = F[z_0, C(k), M(k) \mid k = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor] \cong S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{si}}.$$

In particular, $Sz(\mathfrak{g})$ *is a polynomial ring of* n *variables.*

5.4. The arguments here follow those of Theorems 2.9, 2.10, 3.6 almost verbatim, with $C(k)$, $M_{\mathfrak{b}}(k)$ replacing $c_{\mathfrak{b}}(l,k)$.

Theorem.

a. *Suppose $p > 0$ and p does not divide n. Then*

$$Sz(\mathfrak{b}) = Z_p(\mathfrak{b})[C(k), M_{\mathfrak{b}}(k) \mid k = 1, \ldots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor] \cong S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathrm{si}}.$$

In particular, $Sz(\mathfrak{b})$ is a complete intersection ring.

b. *If $p = 0$ then*

$$Sz(\mathfrak{b}) = F[C(k), M_{\mathfrak{b}}(k) \mid k = 1, \ldots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor] \cong S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathrm{si}}.$$

In particular, $Sz(\mathfrak{b})$ is a polynomial ring of $n-1$ variables.

Acknowledgments

The author wishes to thank to his mentor, professor Amiram Braun, for extensive help in the preparation of this paper.

References

[1] Oz Ben-Shimol, *On Dixmier-Duflo isomorphism in positive characteristic - The classical nilpotent case*. J. Algebra 382 (2013) 203-239.

[2] N. Bourbaki, *Commutative Algebra*.

[3] A. Braun & G. Vernik, *On the center and Semi-center of enveloping algebras in prime characteristic*. Journal of Algebra 322 (2009), pp. 1830-1858.

[4] J. Dixmier, *Enveloping algebras*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics Vol.II. AMS 1996.

[5] A. Joseph, *A Preparation Theorem for the Prime Spectrum of a Semisimple Lie Algebra*. Journal of Algebra 48 (1977), pp. 241-289.

[6] Hideyuki Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*. Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics 8, Cambridge University Press 1986.

[7] V.V. Panyukov, *Centers of universal enveloping algebras of certain Lie algebras*. Vestnik Moskovskogo Universiteta, Matematika, Vol.37 No.2, pp. 19-23, 1982.

[8] R. Rentschler & M. Vergne, *Sur le semi-centre du corps enveloppant d'une algebre de Lie*. Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4) 6 (1973), pp. 389-405.

[9] G. Vernik, *The semicenter of the enveloping algebra of a standard Borel p-algebra*. Communications in Algebra 39 (2011), no. 6, pp. 2150-2155.